arXiv:1407.5713v3 [math.NT] 25 Aug 2014

CONSTRUCTION OF RAY CLASS FIELDS BY SMALLER GENERATORS AND APPLICATIONS

Ja Kyung Koo and Dong Sung Yoon

Abstract

We first generate ray class fields over imaginary quadratic fields in terms of Siegel-Ramachandra invariants, which would be an extension of Schertz's result [12]. And, by making use of quotients of Siegel-Ramachandra invariants we also construct ray class invariants over imaginary quadratic fields whose minimal polynomials have relatively small coefficients, from which we are able to solve certain quadratic Diophantine equations.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Shimura's reciprocity law **3**

3 Siegel-Ramachandra invariants **6**

4 Generation of ray class fields by Siegel-Ramachandra invariants **10**

5 Ray class fields by smaller generators **16**

6 Application to quadratic Diophantine equations **28**

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11R37, 11G15, 11G16.

Key words and phrases. class field theory, complex multiplication, elliptic and modular units

The first named author was supported by the NRF of Korea grant funded by the Korea government (MISP) (No.2014001824). The second named author was supported by the National Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Republic of Korea.

1 Introduction

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field, $\mathfrak{f}$ be a nonzero integral ideal of K and $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ be the ray class group of K modulo $\mathfrak{f}$. Then by class field theory there exists a unique abelian extension of K whose Galois group is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ via the Artin map

$$\sigma : \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K). \tag{1.1}$$

We call it the *ray class field* of K modulo $\mathfrak{f}$ which is denoted by $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$. Since any abelian extension of K is contained in some ray class field $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$, generation of ray class fields of K is the key step toward the Hilbert's 12th problem. In 1964, Ramachandra ([11, Theorem 10]) constructed a primitive generator of $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ over K by applying the Kronecker limit formula. However, his invariants involve products of high powers of singular values of the Klein forms and the discriminant Δ-function, which are quite complicated to use in practice. On the other hand, Schertz tried to find rather simpler generators of $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ over K for practical use. And, he conjectured that the Siegel-Ramachandra invariants would be the right answer and gave a conditional proof ([12, Theorem 3 and 4]).

In this paper we shall first generate ray class fields $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ over K via Siegel-Ramachandra invariants by improving Schertz's idea (Theorem 4.6). And, by making use of quotient of Siegel-Ramachandra invariants we shall also construct a primitive generator of $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ over K whose minimal polynomial has relatively small coefficients and present several examples (Theorem 5.4, Remark 5.6 and Example 5.8, 5.9). This ray class invariant becomes a real algebraic integer with certain conditions (Lemma 6.2 and Theorem 6.3). Lastly, we will apply the real ray class invariant to solving certain quadratic Diophantine equations (Theorem 6.3 and Example 6.6, 6.7).

NOTATION 1.1. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we denote by $\overline{z}$ the complex conjugate of z and by $\mathrm{Im}(z)$ the imaginary part of z, and put $q_z = e^{2\pi i z}$. If G is a group and $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_r$ are elements of G, let $\langle g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_r \rangle$ be the subgroup of G generated by $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_r$, and G^n be the subgroup $\{g^n \mid g \in G\}$ of G for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Moreover, if H is a subgroup of G and $g \in G$, we mean by $[g]$ the coset gH of H in G. The transpose of a matrix α is denoted by ${}^t\alpha$. If R is a ring with identity, $R^\times$ indicates the group of all invertible elements of R. For a number field K, let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be the ring of algebraic integers of K and d_K be the discriminant of K. If $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$, we denote by (a) the principal ideal of K generated by a. When $\mathfrak{a}$ is an integral ideal of K, we mean by $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})$ the absolute norm of an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. For a positive integer N, we let $\zeta_N = e^{2\pi i/N}$ be a primitive N-th root of unity.

2 Shimura's reciprocity law

We shall review an algorithm for finding all conjugates of the special value of a modular function over an imaginary quadratic field by utilizing Shimura's reciprocity law.

For a lattice L in $\mathbb{C}$, the *Weierstrass* $\mathfrak{p}$*-function* is defined by

$$\mathfrak{p}(z;L)=\frac{1}{z^2}+\sum_{\omega\in L\setminus\{0\}}\left(\frac{1}{(z-w)^2}-\frac{1}{w^2}\right)\quad(z\in\mathbb{C}).$$

Let $\mathbb{H}=\{z\in\mathbb{C}\mid \mathrm{Im}(z)>0\}$ be the complex upper half-plane. For $\tau\in\mathbb{H}$, we let

$$g_2(\tau)=60\sum_{\omega\in[\tau,1]\setminus\{0\}}\frac{1}{w^4},\quad g_3(\tau)=140\sum_{\omega\in[\tau,1]\setminus\{0\}}\frac{1}{w^6},\quad \Delta(\tau)=g_2(\tau)^3-27g_3(\tau)^2.$$

Then the j*-invariant* is defined by

$$j(\tau)=1728\frac{g_2(\tau)}{\Delta(\tau)}\quad(\tau\in\mathbb{H}).$$

For a rational vector $\begin{bmatrix}r_1\\ r_2\end{bmatrix}\in\mathbb{Q}^2\setminus\mathbb{Z}^2$, we define the *Fricke function* by

$$f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}r_1\\ r_2\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)=-2^7 3^5\frac{g_2(\tau)g_3(\tau)}{\Delta(\tau)}\mathfrak{p}(r_1\tau+r_2;[\tau,1])\qquad(\tau\in\mathbb{H}).$$

And, for a positive integer N, let

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(N)&=\left\{\begin{bmatrix}a&b\\ c&d\end{bmatrix}\in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\;\middle|\;\begin{bmatrix}a&b\\ c&d\end{bmatrix}\equiv\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\ 0&1\end{bmatrix}(\mathrm{mod}N)\right\}\\ \mathcal{F}_N&=\mathbb{Q}\left(j(\tau),f_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}r_1\\ r_2\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau):\begin{bmatrix}r_1\\ r_2\end{bmatrix}\in\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^2\setminus\mathbb{Z}^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

We call $\mathcal{F}_N$ the *modular function field of level* N over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then the function field $\mathbb{C}(X(N))$ on the modular curve $X(N)=\Gamma(N)\backslash(\mathbb{H}\cup\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}))$ is equal to $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{F}_N$, and $\mathcal{F}_N$ consists of all functions in $\mathbb{C}(X(N))$ whose Fourier coefficients lie in the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$ ([9, Chapter 6 §3]). As is well-known, $\mathcal{F}_N$ is a Galois extension of $\mathcal{F}_1=\mathbb{Q}(j(\tau))$ and

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{F}_N/\mathcal{F}_1)\cong\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\}\cong G_N\cdot\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\},\tag{2.1}$$

where

$$G_N=\left\{\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\ 0&d\end{bmatrix}\;\middle|\;d\in(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times\right\}.$$

More precisely, the element $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix} \in G_N$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_N$ by

$$\sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_n q_\tau^{\frac{n}{N}} \longmapsto \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_n^{\sigma_d} q_\tau^{\frac{n}{N}}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_n q_\tau^{\frac{n}{N}}$ is the Fourier expansion of a function in $\mathcal{F}_N$ and $\sigma_d \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q})$ satisfies $\zeta_N^{\sigma_d} = \zeta_N^d$. And, $\gamma \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\}$ acts on $h \in \mathcal{F}_N$ by

$$h^\gamma(\tau) = h(\widetilde{\gamma}\tau),$$

where $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is a preimage of γ of the reduction $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\}$ ([9, Chapter 6, Theorem 3]).

Now let K be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant d_K and set

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\sqrt{d_K}}{2} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \\ \dfrac{-1+\sqrt{d_K}}{2} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

so that $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$. Then its minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$ is

$$\min(\theta, \mathbb{Q}) = X^2 + B_\theta X + C_\theta = \begin{cases} X^2 - d_K/4 & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \\ X^2 + X + (1-d_K)/4 & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 2.1. *When $\mathfrak{f} = N\mathcal{O}_K$ for a positive integer N, we have*

$$K_\mathfrak{f} = K_{(N)} = K(h(\theta) : \ h \in \mathcal{F}_{N,\theta})$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{N,\theta} = \{h \in \mathcal{F}_N \mid h \text{ is defined and finite at } \theta\}$. If $N = 1$, then $K_{(1)}$ is nothing but the Hilbert class field of K.

Proof. [9, Chapter 10 §1, Corollary]. □

For a positive integer N we define a subgroup $W_{N,\theta}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$W_{N,\theta} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} t - B_\theta s & -C_\theta s \\ s & t \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}) \;\middle|\; t, s \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. *We attain a surjective homomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{N,\theta} : W_{N,\theta} &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(K_{(N)}/K_{(1)}) \\ \alpha &\longmapsto (h(\theta) \mapsto h^\alpha(\theta))_{h \in \mathcal{F}_{N,\theta}}, \end{aligned}$$

whose kernel is

$$\begin{cases} \left\{ \pm \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} & \text{if } K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}), \\ \left\{ \pm \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \pm \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} & \text{if } K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \\ \left\{ \pm \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \pm \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \pm \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right\} & \text{if } K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3}). \end{cases}$$

PROOF. [3, §3], [16, §3] or [5, Proposition 2.3]. □

On the other hand, observe that the subgroup

$$\left\{ [(\omega)] \in \mathrm{Cl}(N\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \omega \in \mathcal{O}_K \text{ is prime to } N \right\}$$

is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{(N)}/K_{(1)})$ via the Artin map σ in (1.1).

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let N be a positive integer and $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_K$ which is prime to N. Write $\omega = s\theta + t$ with $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, for $h \in \mathcal{F}_{N,\theta}$ we obtain*

$$h(\theta)^{\sigma([(\omega)])} = h^{\alpha}(\theta),$$

where $\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} t - B_\theta s & -C_\theta s \\ s & t \end{bmatrix} \in W_{N,\theta}$.

PROOF. [14, Theorem 6.31]. □

We denote for convenience a quadratic form $aX^2 + bXY + cY^2 \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ by $[a, b, c]$. Let $C(d_K)$ be the form class group of discriminant d_K. Then we identify $C(d_K)$ with the set of all *reduced quadratic forms*, namely

$$C(d_K) = \left\{ [a, b, c] \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y] \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} \gcd(a, b, c) = 1, \;\; b^2 - 4ac = d_K \\ -a < b \leq a < c \;\text{ or }\; 0 \leq b \leq a = c \end{array} \right\}$$

([2, Theorem 2.8]). Here we note that if $[a, b, c] \in C(d_K)$, then $a \leq \sqrt{-d_K/3}$ ([2, p.29]) and $C(d_K)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{(1)}/K)$ ([2, Theorem 7.7]). For $Q = [a, b, c] \in C(d_K)$, we let

$$\theta_Q = \frac{-b + \sqrt{d_K}}{2a} \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Further, we define $\beta_Q = (\beta_p)_p \in \prod_p \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ as follows:

Case 1 : $d_K \equiv 0 \pmod 4$

$$\beta_p = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} a & b/2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } p \nmid a \\ \begin{bmatrix} -b/2 & -c \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } p \mid a \text{ and } p \nmid c \\ \begin{bmatrix} -a-b/2 & -c-b/2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } p \mid a \text{ and } p \mid c, \end{cases}$$

Case 2 : $d_K \equiv 1 \pmod 4$

$$\beta_p = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} a & (b-1)/2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } p \nmid a \\ \begin{bmatrix} -(b+1)/2 & -c \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } p \mid a \text{ and } p \nmid c \\ \begin{bmatrix} -a-(b+1)/2 & -c+(1-b)/2 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } p \mid a \text{ and } p \mid c. \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 2.4. *For a positive integer N, we achieve a one-to-one correspondence*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W_{N,\theta}/\ker(\varphi_{N,\theta}) \times C(d_K) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gal}(K_{(N)}/K) \\ (\alpha, Q) & \longmapsto & (h(\theta) \mapsto h^{\widetilde{\alpha}\beta_Q}(\theta_Q))_{h \in \mathcal{F}_{N,\theta}}. \end{array}$$

Here, $\widetilde{\alpha}$ is the preimage of α of the reduction

$$W_{N,\theta}/\{\pm I_2\} \to W_{N,\theta}/\ker(\varphi_{N,\theta})$$

and the action of β_Q on $\mathcal{F}_N$ is described by the action of $\beta \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\}$ so that $\beta \equiv \beta_p \pmod{N\mathbb{Z}_p}$ for all primes $p \mid N$.

PROOF. It is immediate from Proposition 2.2 and [3, §4]. □

3 Siegel-Ramachandra invariants

In this section we shall introduce the arithmetic properties of Siegel functions and describe some necessary facts about Siegel-Ramachandra invariants for later use.

For a rational vector $\mathbf{r} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$, we define the *Siegel function* $g_{\mathbf{r}}(\tau)$ on $\mathbb{H}$ by the following infinite product

$$g_{\mathbf{r}}(\tau) = -q_\tau^{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}_2(r_1)} e^{\pi i r_2(r_1-1)}(1-q_z)\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q_\tau^n q_z)(1-q_\tau^n q_z^{-1}),$$

where $\mathbf{B}_2(X) = X^2 - X + 1/6$ is the second Bernoulli polynomial and $z = r_1\tau + r_2$. Then it has no zeros and poles on $\mathbb{H}$ ([15] or [8, p.36]). The following proposition describe the modularity criterion for Siegel functions.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $N \geq 2$ be a positive integer and $\{m(\mathbf{r})\}_{\mathbf{r}=\left[\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2}$ be a family of integers such that $m(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ except for finitely many $\mathbf{r}$. A finite product of Siegel functions*

$$\zeta \prod_{\mathbf{r} \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2} g_{\mathbf{r}}(\tau)^{m(\mathbf{r})}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{F}_N$ if

$$\sum_{\mathbf{r}} m(\mathbf{r})(Nr_1)^2 \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{r}} m(\mathbf{r})(Nr_2)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(2, N) \cdot N},$$
$$\sum_{\mathbf{r}} m(\mathbf{r})(Nr_1)(Nr_2) \equiv 0 \pmod{N},$$
$$\sum_{\mathbf{r}} m(\mathbf{r}) \cdot \gcd(12, N) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}.$$

Here,

$$\zeta = \prod_{\mathbf{r}} e^{\pi i r_2(1-r_1)m(\mathbf{r})} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2N^2}).$$

PROOF. [8, Chapter 3, Theorem 5.2 and 5.3]. □

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s} \in (1/N)\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$ for a positive integer $N \geq 2$.*

(i) *$g_{\mathbf{r}}(\tau)^{12N}$ satisfies the relation*

$$g_{\mathbf{r}}(\tau)^{12N} = g_{-\mathbf{r}}(\tau)^{12N} = g_{\langle \mathbf{r} \rangle}(\tau)^{12N}$$

where $\langle X \rangle$ is the fractional part of $X \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $0 \leq \langle X \rangle < 1$ and $\langle \mathbf{r} \rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \langle r_1 \rangle \\ \langle r_2 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$.

(ii) *$g_{\mathbf{r}}(\tau)^{12N}$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_N$. Moreover, $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\}$ acts on it by*

$$\left(g_{\mathbf{r}}(\tau)^{12N}\right)^{\alpha} = g_{t\alpha\mathbf{r}}(\tau)^{12N}.$$

(iii) *For $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ we have*

$$\left(\frac{g_{\mathbf{r}}(\tau)}{g_{\mathbf{s}}(\tau)}\right) \circ \gamma = \frac{g_{t\gamma\mathbf{r}}(\tau)}{g_{t\gamma\mathbf{s}}(\tau)}.$$

PROOF. [5, Proposition 1.1] and [8, p.27, K 1]. □

Let L be a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$ and $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus L$ be a point of finite order with respect to L. We choose a basis $[\omega_1, \omega_2]$ of L such that $z = \omega_1/\omega_2 \in \mathbb{H}$ and write

$$t = r_1\omega_1 + r_2\omega_2$$

for some $\begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$. And, we define a function

$$g(t, [\omega_1, \omega_2]) = g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(z),$$

which depends on the choice of ω_1, ω_2. However, by raising 12-th power we obtain a function $g^{12}(t, L)$ of t and L ([8, p.31]).

Now let K be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant d_K, $\mathfrak{f}$ be a nontrivial proper integral ideal of K and N be the smallest positive integer in $\mathfrak{f}$. For $C \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$, we define the *Siegel-Ramachandra invariant* of conductor $\mathfrak{f}$ at C by

$$g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C) = g^{12N}(1, \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{c}^{-1}),$$

where $\mathfrak{c}$ is any integral ideal in C. This value depends only on $\mathfrak{f}$ and the class C, not on the choice of $\mathfrak{c}$.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let $C, C' \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ with $\mathfrak{f} \neq \mathcal{O}_K$.*

(i) *$g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)$ lies in $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ as an algebraic integer. If N is composite, $g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)$ is a unit in $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$.*

(ii) *We have the transformation formula*

$$g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)^{\sigma(C')} = g_{\mathfrak{f}}(CC'),$$

where σ is the Artin map stated in (1.1).

(iii) *$g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')/g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)$ is a unit in $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$.*

PROOF. [9, Chapter 19, Theorem 3] and [8, Chapter 11, Theorem 1.2]. □

Further, we let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an integral ideal of K which is not divisible by $\mathfrak{f}$. For a class $C \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$, we define the *Robert invariant* by

$$u_{\mathfrak{a}}(C) = \frac{g^{12}(1, \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{c}^{-1})^{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})}}{g^{12}(1, \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{a}^{-1}\mathfrak{c}^{-1})},$$

where $\mathfrak{c}$ is any integral ideal in C. This depends only on the class C. Note that $u_{\mathfrak{a}}(C)$ belongs to $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$, but it is not necessarily a unit ([8, Chapter 11, Theorem 4.1]). We shall

take products of such invariants with a linear condition in order to get units. Let C_0 be the unit class in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ and $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{f}}^*$ be the group of all finite products

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{a}} u_{\mathfrak{a}}(C_0)^{m(\mathfrak{a})} \quad (m(\mathfrak{a}) \in \mathbb{Z})$$

taken with all integral ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of K prime to $6N$ satisfying $\sum_{\mathfrak{a}} m(\mathfrak{a})(\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})-1) = 0$. Then $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{f}}^*$ becomes a subgroup of the units in $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ ([8, Chapter 11, Theorem 4.2]).

Let $\omega_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}}$ be the number of roots of unity in $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ and define the group

$$\Phi_{\mathfrak{f}}(\omega_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}}) = \left\{ \prod_{C \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})} g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)^{n(C)} \;\middle|\; \sum_C n(C) = 0 \text{ and } \sum_C n(C)\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}_C) \equiv 0 \pmod{\omega_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}}} \right\},$$

where $\mathfrak{a}_C$ is any integral ideal in C prime to $6N$ and the exponents $n(C)$ are integers. The value $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}_C) \pmod{\omega_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}}}$ does not depend on the choice of $\mathfrak{a}_C$ ([8, Chapter 9, Lemma 4.1]), and so the group is well-defined.

Proposition 3.4. *We have*

$$(\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{f}}^*)^N = \Phi_{\mathfrak{f}}(\omega_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}}).$$

Proof. [8, Chapter 11, Theorem 4.3] □

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathfrak{f} = N\mathcal{O}_K$ for a positive integer $N \geq 2$ and C' be an element of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ satisfying $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a}_{C'}) \equiv 1 \pmod{\omega_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}}}$ for some integral ideal $\mathfrak{a}_{C'}$ in C' prime to $6N$. Then any N-th root of the value $g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')/g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)$ is a unit in $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$.*

Proof. Observe that $g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')/g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0) \in \Phi_{\mathfrak{f}}(\omega_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}})$. It then follows from Proposition 3.4 that

$$\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)} = u^N$$

for some $u \in \mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{f}}^*$. Since u is a unit in $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ and $\zeta_N \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N) \subset K_{(N)} = K_{\mathfrak{f}}$, any N-th root of the value $g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')/g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)$ is also a unit in $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$. □

Let $\mathfrak{f}$ be a nontrivial integral ideal of K and χ be a character of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$. The conductor of χ is defined by the largest ideal $\mathfrak{g}$ dividing $\mathfrak{f}$ such that χ is obtained by a composition of a character of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{g})$ and the natural homomorphism $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \to \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{g})$, and we denote it by $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$. Similarly, if χ' is a character of $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f})^\times$ then we define the conductor of χ' by the largest ideal $\mathfrak{g}$ dividing $\mathfrak{f}$ for which χ' is induced by a composition of a character of $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{g})^\times$ with the natural homomorphism $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f})^\times \to (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{g})^\times$, and denote it by $\mathfrak{f}_{\chi'}$. The map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f})^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \\ \alpha + \mathfrak{f} & \longmapsto & [(\alpha)] \end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

is a well-defined homomorphism whose kernel is

$$\{\alpha+\mathfrak{f}\in(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f})^\times \mid \alpha\in\mathcal{O}_K^\times\}.$$

For a character χ of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$, we derive a character χ' of $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f})^\times$ by composing with the map (3.1). Then, by definition $\mathfrak{f}_\chi=\mathfrak{f}_{\chi'}$ is immediate.

Now let χ be a nontrivial character of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ with $\mathfrak{f}\neq\mathcal{O}_K$ and χ_0 be the primitive character of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}_\chi)$ corresponding to χ. We define the *Stickelberger element* and the *L-function* for χ by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathfrak{f}}(\chi,g_{\mathfrak{f}}) &= \sum_{C\in\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})}\chi(C)\log|g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)|,\\ L_{\mathfrak{f}}(s,\chi) &= \sum_{\substack{(0)\neq\mathfrak{a}\subset\mathcal{O}_K\\ \gcd(\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{f})=1}}\frac{\chi(\mathfrak{a})}{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})^s}\quad(s\in\mathbb{C}), \end{aligned}$$

respectively. The second Kronecker limit formula explains the relation between the Stickelberger element and the L-function as follows:

Proposition 3.6. *Let χ be a nontrivial character of* $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ *with $\mathfrak{f}_\chi\neq\mathcal{O}_K$. Then we have*

$$L_{\mathfrak{f}_\chi}(1,\chi_0)\prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p}\mid\mathfrak{f}\\ \mathfrak{p}\nmid\mathfrak{f}_\chi}}(1-\overline{\chi_0}([\mathfrak{p}]))=-\frac{2\pi\chi_0([\gamma\mathfrak{d}_K\mathfrak{f}_\chi])}{6N(\mathfrak{f}_\chi)\omega(\mathfrak{f}_\chi)T_\gamma(\overline{\chi_0})\sqrt{-d_K}}\cdot S_{\mathfrak{f}}(\overline{\chi},g_{\mathfrak{f}}),$$

where $\mathfrak{d}_K$ is the different of $K/\mathbb{Q}$, γ is an element of K such that $\gamma\mathfrak{d}_K\mathfrak{f}_\chi$ is an integral ideal of K prime to $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$, $N(\mathfrak{f}_\chi)$ is the smallest positive integer in $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$, $\omega(\mathfrak{f}_\chi)$ is the number of roots of unity in K which are $\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{f}_\chi}$ and

$$T_\gamma(\overline{\chi_0})=\sum_{x+\mathfrak{f}_\chi\in(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f}_\chi)^\times}\overline{\chi_0}([x\mathcal{O}_K])e^{2\pi i\mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma x)}.$$

Proof. [8, Chapter 11 §2, LF 2]. □

Remark 3.7. Since χ_0 is a nontrivial character of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}_\chi)$, we obtain $L_{\mathfrak{f}_\chi}(1,\chi_0)\neq 0$ ([4, Chapter V, Theorem 10.2]). Furthermore, the Gauss sum $T_\gamma(\overline{\chi}_0)$ is also nonzero ([9, Chapter 22 §1, G 3]). If every prime ideal factor of $\mathfrak{f}$ divides $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$ then we understand the Euler factor $\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\mid\mathfrak{f},\ \mathfrak{p}\nmid\mathfrak{f}_\chi}(1-\overline{\chi_0}([\mathfrak{p}]))$ to be 1, and hence we conclude $S_{\mathfrak{f}}(\overline{\chi},g_{\mathfrak{f}})\neq 0$.

4 Generation of ray class fields by Siegel-Ramachandra invariants

We shall improve in this section the result of Schertz [12] concerning construction of ray class fields by means of Siegel-Ramachandra invariants.

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant d_K and ω_K be the number of roots of unity in K. For a nontrivial integral ideal $\mathfrak{f}$ of K, we regard $\omega(\mathfrak{f})$ as the number of roots of unity in K which are $\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{f}}$. Let ϕ be the Euler function for ideals, namely

$$\phi(\mathfrak{f}) = \left|(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f})^\times\right| = \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{f}) \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p}\,|\,\mathfrak{f} \\ \mathfrak{p} \text{ prime}}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{p})}\right). \tag{4.1}$$

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If $\mathfrak{f}$ is a nontrivial integral ideal of K, then we get*

$$[K_\mathfrak{f} : K] = h_K \phi(\mathfrak{f}) \frac{\omega(\mathfrak{f})}{\omega_K}$$

where h_K is the class number of K.

PROOF. [10, Chapter VI, Theorem 1]. □

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $H \subset G$ be two finite abelian groups, $g \in G \setminus H$ and n be the order of the coset $[g]$ in G/H. Then for any character χ of H, we can extend it to a character ψ of G such that $\psi(g)$ is any fixed n-th root of $\chi(g^n)$.*

PROOF. [13, Chapter VI, Proposition 1]. □

Now, let $\mathfrak{f}$ be a nontrivial proper integral ideal of K with prime ideal factorization

$$\mathfrak{f} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}$$

and C_0 be the unit class in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$. Consider the quotient group

$$\mathbf{G} = (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f})^\times / \{\alpha + \mathfrak{f} \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f})^\times \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\}.$$

Then one can view $\mathbf{G}$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ via the map (3.1). For each i, we set

$$\mathbf{G}_i = (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i})^\times / \{\alpha + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i})^\times \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\}.$$

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Assume that $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 2$ for every i. Then, for any class C $(\neq C_0) \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ there exists a character χ of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ such that $\chi(C) \neq 1$ and $\mathfrak{p}_i \,|\, \mathfrak{f}_\chi$ for all i.*

PROOF. Since $C \neq C_0$, there is a character χ of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ such that $\chi(C) \neq 1$. We set n to be the order of C in the quotient group $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})/\mathbf{G}$. Then $C^n = [(\beta)]$ for some $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ which is relatively prime to $\mathfrak{f}$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$ is not divided by $\mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i. If $\mathbf{G}_i \neq \langle \beta + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} \rangle$ then we can find a nontrivial character ψ of $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i})^\times$ for which ψ is trivial on $\{\alpha + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i})^\times \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\}$ and $\psi(\beta + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}) = 1$. By composing with a

natural homomorphism $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f})^\times \to (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i})^\times$, we are able to extend ψ to a character ψ' of $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f})^\times$ whose conductor is divisible only by $\mathfrak{p}_i$. Observe that ψ' is trivial on $\{\alpha+\mathfrak{f} \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f})^\times \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\}$ and so ψ' becomes a character of $\mathbf{G}$. It then follows from Lemma 4.2 that we can also extend ψ' to a character ψ'' of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ such that $\psi''(C)=1$. Now assume that $\mathbf{G}_i = \langle \beta+\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}\rangle$. Since $|\mathbf{G}_i|>2$, there is a nontrivial character ψ of $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i})^\times$ so that ψ is trivial on $\{\alpha+\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i})^\times \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\}$ and $\psi(\beta+\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}) \neq 1, \chi(C^n)^{-1}$. In a similar way, one can extend ψ to a character ψ'' of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ in such a way that $\mathfrak{f}_{\psi''}$ is divisible only by $\mathfrak{p}_i$ and $\psi''(C) \neq \chi(C)^{-1}$. Therefore, the character $\chi\psi''$ of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ satisfies $\chi\psi''(C)\neq 1$, $\mathfrak{p}_i \,|\, \mathfrak{f}_{\chi\psi''}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_\chi \,|\, \mathfrak{f}_{\chi\psi''}$ in both cases. By continuing this process for all i, we achieve a desired character in the end.

□

LEMMA 4.4. *With the notations as above, $|\mathbf{G}_i| = 1$ or 2 if and only if $\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}$ satisfies one of the following conditions:*

***Case 1** : $K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$*

- *2 is not inert in K, $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 2 and $n_i = 1, 2$ or 3.*
- *3 is not inert in K, $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 3 and $n_i = 1$.*
- *5 is not inert in K, $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 5 and $n_i = 1$.*

***Case 2** : $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$*

- *$\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 2 and $n_i = 1, 2, 3$ or 4.*
- *$\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 3 and $n_i = 1$.*
- *$\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 5 and $n_i = 1$.*

***Case 3** : $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$*

- *$\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 2 and $n_i = 1$ or 2.*
- *$\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 3 and $n_i = 1$ or 2.*
- *$\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 7 and $n_i = 1$.*
- *$\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 13 and $n_i = 1$.*

PROOF. First, we note that

$$|\mathbf{G}_i| = \phi(\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i})\frac{\omega(\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i})}{\omega_K}. \tag{4.2}$$

Let p_i be a prime number such that $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over p_i. It then follows from (4.1) that

$$\phi(\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}) = \begin{cases} p_i^{2n_i} - p_i^{2n_i-2} & \text{if } p_i \text{ is inert in } K \\ p_i^{n_i} - p_i^{n_i-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

If $K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, then $\omega_K = 2$ and

$$\omega(\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} \mid 2\mathcal{O}_K \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.4}$$

If $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, then $\omega_K = 4$ and

$$\left(\frac{d_K}{p_i}\right) = \left(\frac{-4}{p_i}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p_i \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \\ -1 & \text{if } p_i \equiv 3 \pmod 4 \\ 0 & \text{if } p_i = 2 \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

where $(\frac{d_K}{p_i})$ stands for the Kronecker symbol. Since $2\mathcal{O}_K = (1-\sqrt{-1})^2\mathcal{O}_K$, we deduce

$$\omega(\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}) = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} = (1-\sqrt{-1})\mathcal{O}_K \\ 2 & \text{if } \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} \neq (1-\sqrt{-1})\mathcal{O}_K \text{ and } \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} \mid 2\mathcal{O}_K \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

If $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, then $\omega_K = 6$ and

$$\left(\frac{d_K}{p_i}\right) = \left(\frac{-3}{p_i}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p_i \equiv 1, 7 \pmod{12} \\ -1 & \text{if } p_i \equiv 5, 11 \pmod{12} \text{ or } p_i = 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } p_i = 3. \end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

Here we observe that 2 is inert in K and 3 is ramified in K, so to speak, $3\mathcal{O}_K = \left(\frac{3+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right)^2 \mathcal{O}_K$. One can then readily show that

$$\omega(\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}) = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} = \left(\dfrac{3+\sqrt{-3}}{2}\right)\mathcal{O}_K \\ 2 & \text{if } \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} = 2\mathcal{O}_K \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

Therefore, the lemma follows from (4.2)$\sim$(4.8). □

Remark 4.5. If 2 is not inert in K, $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 2 and $n_i = 1$, then $K_{\mathfrak{f}} = K_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}}$. Indeed, we see from Proposition 4.1 that

$$[K_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}} : K] = \frac{\omega(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i})}{\phi(\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i})\omega(\mathfrak{f})} \cdot [K_{\mathfrak{f}} : K]. \tag{4.9}$$

Since $\phi(\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}) = 1$ and $\omega(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}) = \omega(\mathfrak{f})$ in this case, we obtain the conclusion.

From now on we assume that $K_{\mathfrak{f}} \neq K_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}}$ for every i and let $\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}}$ be the number of i such that $|G_i| = 1$ or 2. After reordering prime ideal factors of $\mathfrak{f}$ if necessary, we may suppose that $|G_i| = 1$ or 2 for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}}$. For any intermediate field F of the extension $K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K$ we mean by $\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ corresponding to $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)$ via the Artin map σ stated in (1.1).

THEOREM 4.6. *Let $\mathfrak{f}$ be a nontrivial proper integral ideal of K with prime ideal factorization $\mathfrak{f} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}$ such that $|\mathbf{G}_i| = 1$ or 2 for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}}$. Assume that $K_{\mathfrak{f}} \neq K_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}}$ for every i and*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}}} \frac{1}{\phi(\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i})} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \tag{4.10}$$

Then for any class $C \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ and any nonzero integer n, we get

$$K_{\mathfrak{f}} = K\big(g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)^n\big).$$

PROOF. Let $F = K(g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)^n)$. On the contrary suppose that F is properly contained in $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$, that is, $\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F) \neq \{1\}$. Then we claim that there exists a character χ of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ satisfying $\chi|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)} \neq 1$ and $\mathfrak{p}_i \,|\, \mathfrak{f}_\chi$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}}$. Indeed, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 &= \big|\big\{\text{characters } \chi \text{ of } \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \mid \chi|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)} \neq 1\big\}\big| \\ &= |\{\text{characters } \chi \text{ of } \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})\}| - \big|\big\{\text{characters } \chi \text{ of } \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \mid \chi|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)} = 1\big\}\big| \\ &= [K_{\mathfrak{f}} : K] - [F : K] \\ &= [K_{\mathfrak{f}} : K]\left(1 - \frac{1}{[K_{\mathfrak{f}} : F]}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}[K_{\mathfrak{f}} : K]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Thus if $\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}} = 0$, the claim is clear. Observe that a trivial character is not contained in the set stated in (4.11). Now assume $\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}} \geq 1$ and let

$$M_2 = \Big| \{\text{characters } \chi \neq 1 \text{ of } \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \mid \mathfrak{p}_i \nmid \mathfrak{f}_\chi \text{ for some } i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, \mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}}\}\} \Big|.$$

First, we suppose $\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}} \neq 2$. Then we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 &= |\{\text{characters } \chi \text{ of } \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \mid \mathfrak{p}_i \nmid \mathfrak{f}_\chi \text{ for some } i \in \{1,2,\dots,\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}}\}\}| - 1 \\ &= \left|\left\{\text{characters } \chi \text{ of } \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \mid \mathfrak{f}_\chi \mid \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i} \text{ for some } i \in \{1,2,\dots,\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}}\}\right\}\right| - 1 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}}} \left|\left\{\text{characters } \chi \text{ of } \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i})\right\}\right| - 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}}} [K_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}} : K] - 1 \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}}} \frac{\omega(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i})}{\phi(\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i})\omega(\mathfrak{f})}\right) [K_{\mathfrak{f}} : K] - 1 \quad \text{(by (4.9))}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}} = 1$, then $M_2 \leq (1/2)[K_{\mathfrak{f}} : K] - 1$ since $K_{\mathfrak{f}} \neq K_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}}$ for every i. And, if $\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}} \geq 3$, then $\omega(\mathfrak{f}) = \omega(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}) = 1$ for every i because $\mathfrak{f}$ has at least three prime ideal factors. Hence we attain $M_2 \leq (1/2)[K_{\mathfrak{f}} : K] - 1$ again by the assumption (4.10). Now assume $\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}} = 2$. Since $\mathfrak{f}$ has at least two prime ideal factors, we achieve that

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 &= \left|\left\{\text{characters } \chi \text{ of } \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \mid \mathfrak{f}_\chi \mid \mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i} \text{ for some } i \in \{1,2\}\right\}\right| - 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left|\left\{\text{characters } \chi \text{ of } \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i})\right\}\right| - \left|\left\{\text{characters } \chi \text{ of } \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_1^{-n_1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{-n_2})\right\}\right| - 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{2} [K_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}} : K] - [K_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_1^{-n_1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{-n_2}} : K] - 1 \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\omega(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i})}{\phi(\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i})} - \frac{\omega(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_1^{-n_1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{-n_2})}{\phi(\mathfrak{p}_1^{n_1})\phi(\mathfrak{p}_2^{n_2})}\right) [K_{\mathfrak{f}} : K] - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here we note that $\omega(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}) \neq 1$ occurs only when $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{n_1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{n_2}$, and $\omega(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_1^{-n_1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{-n_2}) = \omega_K$ in this case. Using this fact one can check that if the assumption (4.10) holds then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{\omega(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i})}{\phi(\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i})} - \frac{\omega(\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_1^{-n_1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{-n_2})}{\phi(\mathfrak{p}_1^{n_1})\phi(\mathfrak{p}_2^{n_2})}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2}, \tag{4.12}$$

which yields $M_2 \leq (1/2)[K_{\mathfrak{f}} : K] - 1$. Thus for any $\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}} \geq 1$ we have $M_1 > M_2$ and so the claim is proved. Furthermore, the proof of Proposition 4.3 shows that there is a character ψ'' of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ for which $\chi\psi''|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)} \neq 1$, $\mathfrak{f}_\chi \mid \mathfrak{f}_{\chi\psi''}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_i \mid \mathfrak{f}_{\chi\psi''}$ for all i. So, by replacing χ by $\chi\psi''$, we get a character χ of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ satisfying $\chi|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)} \neq 1$ and $\mathfrak{p}_i \mid \mathfrak{f}_\chi$ for all i.

Since χ is nontrivial and $\mathfrak{f}_\chi \neq \mathcal{O}_K$, we have $S_{\mathfrak{f}}(\overline{\chi}, g_{\mathfrak{f}}) \neq 0$ by Proposition 3.6. On the

other hand, we induce that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathfrak{f}}(\overline{\chi}, g_{\mathfrak{f}}) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{C \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})} \overline{\chi}(C) \log |g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)^n| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{C \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})} \overline{\chi}(C) \log \left| (g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)^n)^{\sigma(C)} \right| \quad \text{(by Proposition 3.3)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{[C_1] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})/\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)} \left(\sum_{C_2 \in \mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)} \overline{\chi}(C_1 C_2) \log \left| (g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)^n)^{\sigma(C_1 C_2)} \right| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{[C_1] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})/\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)} \overline{\chi}(C_1) \log \left| (g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)^n)^{\sigma(C_1)} \right| \left(\sum_{C_2 \in \mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)} \overline{\chi}(C_2) \right) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

because $g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)^n \in F$ and $\chi|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)} \neq 1$. It gives a contradiction. Therefore, $F = K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ and so $K_{\mathfrak{f}} = K\left(g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)^n\right)$ for any $C \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ since $g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)^n = (g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)^n)^{\sigma(C)}$ by Proposition 3.3. □

Remark 4.7. (i) When $\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}} = 0$ or 1, the assumption (4.10) is always satisfied.

(ii) If $\mathbf{N}_{\mathfrak{f}} = 2$ and $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{p}_1^{n_1}\mathfrak{p}_2^{n_2}$, then one can show that the inequality (4.12) holds except the case where $K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, 2 is ramified in K and $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{p}_{(2)}^2\mathfrak{p}_{(5)}$. Here, $\mathfrak{p}_{(p)}$ stands for a prime ideal of K lying over a prime number p. Therefore we are able to establish Theorem 4.6 again under the above condition.

5 Ray class fields by smaller generators

In this section we shall construct ray class invariants over imaginary quadratic fields whose minimal polynomials have relatively small coefficients.

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ be an imaginary quadratic field with a square-free integer $d > 0$, $\mathfrak{f}$ be a nontrivial proper integral ideal of K and θ be as in (2.3). In what follows we adopt the notations of Section 4.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s} \in (1/N)\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$ for a positive integer $N \geq 2$. Then we achieve that*

$$\frac{g_{\mathbf{r}}(\theta)^{\gcd(2,N)\cdot N}}{g_{\mathbf{s}}(\theta)^{\gcd(2,N)\cdot N}}$$

lies in the ray class field $K_{(N)} = K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ with $\mathfrak{f} = N\mathcal{O}_K$.

Proof. It is immediate from Proposition 2.1 and 3.1. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathfrak{f} = N\mathcal{O}_K = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}$ for an integer $N \geq 2$ and p be an odd prime dividing N (if any). Assume that $K_{\mathfrak{f}} \neq K_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}}$ for every i. Then there is an element*

$\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ prime to $6N$ for which $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) \equiv 1 \pmod{\omega_{K_\mathfrak{f}}}$ and the ray class $[(\beta)] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ is of order k_p, where

$$k_p = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\omega_K}\left(p - \left(\dfrac{d_K}{p}\right)\right) & \text{if } p \nmid d_K,\ \mathrm{ord}_p(N) = 1 \text{ and } N = p \\ \dfrac{1}{2}\left(p - \left(\dfrac{d_K}{p}\right)\right) & \text{if } p \nmid d_K,\ \mathrm{ord}_p(N) = 1 \text{ and } N \neq p \\ p & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $\left(\frac{d_K}{p}\right)$ is the Kronecker symbol.

Proof. Let

$$N' = \begin{cases} 4N & \text{if } 3 \mid N \\ 12N & \text{if } 3 \nmid N \end{cases}$$

with prime decomposition $N' = \prod_\ell \ell^{n_\ell}$. Then $n_p = \mathrm{ord}_p(N') = \mathrm{ord}_p(N)$ and $\omega_{K_\mathfrak{f}}$ divides N' ([8, Chapter 9, Lemma 4.3]). Hence it suffices to find $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ for which $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) \equiv 1 \pmod{N'}$ and the order of the ray class $[(\beta)] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ is k_p. For simplicity, we let $m = p - \left(\frac{d_K}{p}\right)$ and define a homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \widetilde{N_{K/\mathbb{Q},n}}: & (\mathcal{O}_K/n\mathcal{O}_K)^\times & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times \\ & \omega + n\mathcal{O}_K & \longmapsto & N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega) + n\mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

for each integer $n \geq 2$.

Case 1. First, suppose that $p \nmid d_K$ and $\mathrm{ord}_p(N) = 1$. Note that the map

$$\widetilde{N_{K/\mathbb{Q},p}} : (\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^\times \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$$

is surjective and $\ker(\widetilde{N_{K/\mathbb{Q},p}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ ([7, Corollary 5.2]). We choose $\omega \in \mathcal{O}_K$ so that $\ker(\widetilde{N_{K/\mathbb{Q},p}}) = \langle \omega + p\mathcal{O}_K \rangle$. Then there is $\omega' \in \mathcal{O}_K$ for which for each prime ℓ dividing N'

$$\omega' \equiv \begin{cases} \omega \pmod{\ell^{n_\ell}\mathcal{O}_K} & \text{if } \ell = p \\ 1 \pmod{\ell^{n_\ell}\mathcal{O}_K} & \text{if } \ell \neq p \end{cases}$$

by the Chinese remainder theorem. We observe that ω' is prime to $6N$, $\omega' + N'\mathcal{O}_K$ is contained in $\ker(\widetilde{N_{K/\mathbb{Q},N'}})$ and it is of order m in $(\mathcal{O}_K/N\mathcal{O}_K)^\times$. If $N = p$, then the ray class $[(\omega')] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ is of order m/ω_K because

$$\{\varepsilon + p\mathcal{O}_K \in (\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K)^\times \mid \varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\} \subset \ker(\widetilde{N_{K/\mathbb{Q},p}}) = \langle \omega' + p\mathcal{O}_K \rangle.$$

When $N \neq p$, we derive that

$$\big(\text{the order of } [(\omega')] \text{ in } \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})\big) = \begin{cases} m/2 & \text{if } -1 + N\mathcal{O}_K \in \langle \omega' + N\mathcal{O}_K \rangle \\ m & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore we can find $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with the desired properties.

Case 2. Now, assume that $\mathrm{ord}_p(N) > 1$ or $p \mid d_K$. Let

$$\beta = \begin{cases} 1 + \dfrac{2N}{p}\sqrt{-d} & \text{if } p = 3 \\ 1 + \dfrac{6N}{p}\sqrt{-d} & \text{if } p \neq 3. \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

Then $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) \equiv 1 \pmod{N'}$ and $\beta + N\mathcal{O}_K$ is of order p in $(\mathcal{O}_K/N\mathcal{O}_K)^\times$ because p^2 divides Nd. And we claim that

$$\{\varepsilon + N\mathcal{O}_K \in (\mathcal{O}_K/N\mathcal{O}_K)^\times \mid \varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\} \cap \langle \beta + N\mathcal{O}_K \rangle = \{1 + N\mathcal{O}_K\}$$

since p is an odd prime and $K_\mathfrak{f} \neq K_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}}$ for every i. Thus the ray class $[(\beta)] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ is of order p as desired.

□

REMARK 5.3. If p is an odd prime such that $p - \left(\frac{d_K}{p}\right)$ is a power of 2, then p is either a Mersenne prime or a Fermat prime. Observe that 48 Mersenne primes and 5 Fermat primes are known as of May, 2014.

THEOREM 5.4. *Let $\mathfrak{f} = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}$ be a nontrivial proper integral ideal of K and C' be an element of $\mathbf{G}$ $(\subset \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}))$ whose order is an odd prime p. Assume that $K_\mathfrak{f} \neq K_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}}$ and $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 2$ for every i. If $p > 3$ or $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 3$ for every i, then for any nonzero integer n the unit*

$$\frac{g_\mathfrak{f}(C')^n}{g_\mathfrak{f}(C_0)^n}$$

generates $K_\mathfrak{f}$ over K.

Moreover, if $\mathfrak{f} = N\mathcal{O}_K$ for an integer $N \geq 2$ and $C' = [(\beta')]$ for some $\beta' \in \mathcal{O}_K$ prime to $6N$ with $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta') \equiv 1 \pmod{\omega_{K_\mathfrak{f}}}$, then

$$K_\mathfrak{f} = K\left(\frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} s/N \\ t/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^m}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^m}\right)$$

where $\beta' = s\theta + t$ with $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$m = \begin{cases} \gcd(N, 3) & \text{if } N \text{ is odd,} \\ 4 \cdot \gcd(\frac{N}{2}, 3) & \text{if } N \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Let $F = K\big(g_\mathfrak{f}(C')^n/g_\mathfrak{f}(C_0)^n\big)$. Suppose $F \subsetneq K_\mathfrak{f}$, namely, $\mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/F) \neq \{1\}$. We then claim that there exists a character χ of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ such that $\chi|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/F)} \neq 1$, $\chi(C') \neq 1$ and $\mathfrak{p}_i \mid \mathfrak{f}_\chi$ for every i. Indeed, one can achieve

$$|\{\text{characters } \chi \neq 1 \text{ of } \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \mid \chi(C') = 1\}| = \frac{1}{p}[K_\mathfrak{f} : K] - 1.$$

And, it follows from (4.11) that

$$|\left\{\text{characters } \chi \text{ of } \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \mid \chi|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)} \neq 1\right\}| \geq \frac{1}{2}[K_{\mathfrak{f}} : K] > \frac{1}{p}[K_{\mathfrak{f}} : K] - 1.$$

Thus there is a character χ of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ satisfying $\chi|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)} \neq 1$ and $\chi(C') \neq 1$. Since $C' \in \mathbf{G}$, we may write $C' = [(\beta')]$ for some $\beta' \in \mathcal{O}_K$ which is prime to $\mathfrak{f}$. Choose $C'' \in \mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/F)$ of prime order ℓ satisfying $\chi(C'') \neq 1$. Here, we assume that $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$ is not divided by $\mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i. We then consider the following two cases:

Case 1. First, consider the case $C'' \notin \mathbf{G}$. The proof of Proposition 4.3 shows that there exists a nontrivial character ψ of $\mathbf{G}_i$ such that $\psi([\beta' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]) \neq \chi(C')^{-1}$. And, we can extend ψ to a character ψ' of $\mathbf{G}$ whose conductor is divisible only by $\mathfrak{p}_i$. Since $C'' \notin \mathbf{G}$ and $\psi'((C'')^\ell) = 1$, one can also extend ψ' to a character ψ'' of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ so as to have $\psi''(C'') = 1$ by Lemma 4.2. Note that the character $\chi\psi''$ of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ satisfies $\chi\psi''(C') \neq 1$, $\chi\psi''(C'') = \chi(C'') \neq 1$, $\mathfrak{p}_i \,|\, \mathfrak{f}_{\chi\psi''}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_\chi \,|\, \mathfrak{f}_{\chi\psi''}$. And, we replace χ by $\chi\psi''$.

Case 2. Next, suppose $C'' \in \mathbf{G}$. Let $\beta'' \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $C'' = [(\beta'')]$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$.

(i) If $\mathbf{G}_i \neq \langle[\beta'' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]\rangle$, we can choose a trivial character ψ_1 of the proper subgroup $\langle[\beta'' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]\rangle$ of $\mathbf{G}_i$. Since $\langle[\beta'' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]\rangle \cong \{1\}$ or $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$ in the group $\mathbf{G}_i$, we have either $\langle[\beta' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]\rangle = \langle[\beta'' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]\rangle \subsetneq \mathbf{G}_i$ or $\langle[\beta' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]\rangle \cap \langle[\beta'' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]\rangle = \{1\}$. And by using Lemma 4.2 we can extend ψ_1 to a nontrivial character ψ of $\mathbf{G}_i$ such that $\psi(\beta' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}) \neq \chi(C')^{-1}$ due to the fact $p \geq 3$.

(ii) Now assume that $\mathbf{G}_i = \langle[\beta'' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\ell > 2$ because $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 2$. If $\beta' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} \in \{\alpha + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i})^\times \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\}$, there is a nontrivial character ψ of $\mathbf{G}_i$ for which $\psi([\beta'' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]) \neq \chi(C'')^{-1}$. Oberve that $\psi([\beta' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]) = 1$.

On the other hand, if $\beta' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} \notin \{\alpha + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i} \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i})^\times \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\}$, then $\langle[\beta' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]\rangle = \langle[\beta'' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]\rangle$ and $p = \ell$. And we see that $p, \ell > 3$ by hypothesis. Let ψ_1 be a character of $\mathbf{G}_i$ such that $\psi_1([\beta' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]) = \zeta_p$. Then $\psi, \psi^2, \ldots, \psi^{p-1}$ are distinct nontrivial characters of $\mathbf{G}_i$ and we derive

$$\left|\{\psi_1^j \mid \psi_1^j([\beta' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]) \neq \chi(C')^{-1}\}_{1 \leq j \leq p-1}\right| = p - 2.$$

Meanwhile, $\psi_1([\beta'' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]) = \zeta_p^s$ for some $1 \leq s \leq p-1$. If

$$\psi_1^{j_1}([\beta'' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]) = \psi_1^{j_2}([\beta'' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}])$$

for some $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq p-1$, then $\zeta_p^{s(j_1 - j_2)} = 1$ and so $j_1 = j_2$. Hence we have

$$\left|\{\psi_1^j \mid \psi_1^j([\beta' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]) \neq \chi(C')^{-1},\ \psi_1^j([\beta'' + \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}]) \neq \chi(C'')^{-1}\}_{1 \leq j \leq p-1}\right| \geq p-3 > 0.$$

We choose a character ψ of $\mathbf{G}_i$ in the above set.

Therefore, in any cases we can extend ψ to a character ψ'' of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ in a similar fashion, and it satisfies $\chi\psi''(C') \neq 1$, $\chi\psi''(C'') \neq 1$, $\mathfrak{p}_i \,|\, \mathfrak{f}_{\chi\psi''}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_\chi \,|\, \mathfrak{f}_{\chi\psi''}$. Now, we replace χ by $\chi\psi''$.

By continuing this process for every i, we get the claim.

Since χ is nontrivial and $\mathfrak{p}_i \,|\, \mathfrak{f}_\chi$ for every i, we have $S_\mathfrak{f}(\overline{\chi}, g_\mathfrak{f}) \neq 0$ by Proposition 3.6 and Remark 3.7. On the other hand, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
(\chi(C')-1)S_\mathfrak{f}(\overline{\chi}, g_\mathfrak{f}) &= (\overline{\chi}(C'^{-1})-1)\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})}\overline{\chi}(C)\log|g_\mathfrak{f}(C)| \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})}\overline{\chi}(C)\log\left|\frac{g_\mathfrak{f}(CC')^n}{g_\mathfrak{f}(C)^n}\right| \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{C\in\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})}\overline{\chi}(C)\log\left|\left(\frac{g_\mathfrak{f}(C')^n}{g_\mathfrak{f}(C_0)^n}\right)^{\sigma(C)}\right| \quad \text{(by Proposition 3.3)} \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{[C_1]\in\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})/\mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/F)}\left(\sum_{C_2\in\mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/F)}\overline{\chi}(C_1C_2)\log\left|\left(\frac{g_\mathfrak{f}(C')^n}{g_\mathfrak{f}(C_0)^n}\right)^{\sigma(C_1C_2)}\right|\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{[C_1]\in\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})/\mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/F)}\overline{\chi}(C_1)\log\left|\left(\frac{g_\mathfrak{f}(C')^n}{g_\mathfrak{f}(C_0)^n}\right)^{\sigma(C_1)}\right|\left(\sum_{C_2\in\mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/F)}\overline{\chi}(C_2)\right) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

because $\overline{\chi}$ is nontrivial on $\mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/F)$. And, it yields a contradiction since $\chi(C')-1 \neq 0$. Therefore, we conclude $F = K_\mathfrak{f}$.

If $\mathfrak{f} = N\mathcal{O}_K$ for a positive integer $N \geq 2$ and $\beta' = s\theta + t$ is prime to $6N$ with $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta') \equiv 1 \pmod{\omega_{K_\mathfrak{f}}}$, then any N-th root of the value $g_\mathfrak{f}(C')/g_\mathfrak{f}(C_0)$ generates $K_\mathfrak{f}$ over K by Lemma 3.5. Write $\min(\theta, \mathbb{Q}) = X^2 + B_\theta X + C_\theta$. Then we have by definition $g_\mathfrak{f}(C_0) = g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^{12N}$ and

$$g_\mathfrak{f}(C') = g_\mathfrak{f}(C_0)^{\sigma(C')} = g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}t-B_\theta s & s\\ -C_\theta s & t\end{smallmatrix}\right]\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^{12N} = g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}s/N\\t/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^{12N}$$

by Proposition 2.3, 3.2 and 3.3. Therefore,

$$K_\mathfrak{f} = K\left(\frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}s/N\\t/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^{12}}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^{12}}\right),$$

and hence we get the conclusion by Lemma 5.1.

□

REMARK 5.5. In like manner as in Lemma 4.4 one can show that $|\mathbf{G}_i| = 3$ if and only if $\mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}$ satisfies one of the following conditions:

Case 1 : $K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$

- 2 is inert in K, $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 2 and $n_i = 1$.
- 3 is not inert in K, $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 3 and $n_i = 2$.
- 7 is not inert in K, $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 7 and $n_i = 1$.

Case 2 : $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$

- $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 13 and $n_i = 1$.

Case 3 : $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$

- $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 3 and $n_i = 3$.
- $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is lying over 19 and $n_i = 1$.

REMARK 5.6. (i) Suppose

$$\left(\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}\right)^{\sigma(C)} \neq \frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}$$

for every $C \in \mathbf{G} \setminus \{1\}$. Then we may consider only the **Case 1** in the proof of Theorem 5.4. Thus one can prove that Theorem 5.4 is still valid for any prime p with the assumptions $K_{\mathfrak{f}} \neq K_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}}$ and $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 2$ for every i.

(ii) Let $\mathfrak{f} = N\mathcal{O}_K$ for a positive integer $N \geq 2$ and ℓ be an odd prime dividing N. For any odd prime p dividing k_ℓ, there exists $\beta' \in \mathcal{O}_K$ prime to $6N$ for which $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta') \equiv 1 \pmod{\omega_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}}}$ and the order of the ray class $[(\beta')]$ is p by Lemma 5.2.

The following corollary would be an explicit example of Theorem 5.4.

COROLLARY 5.7. *Let $\mathfrak{f} = N\mathcal{O}_K$ for a positive integer $N \geq 2$ and p be an odd prime dividing N (if any). Further, we set $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ as in (5.1) and $C' = [(\beta)] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$. Assume that $K_{\mathfrak{f}} \neq K_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}}$, $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 2$ for every i and p^2 divides Nd_K.*

(i) If $p = 3$ and $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 3$ for every i, then the special value

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} \dfrac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 2/3 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^3}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^3} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \\ \dfrac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 4/3 \\ 2/3+1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^3}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^3} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \end{cases}$$

generates $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ $(= K_{(N)})$ over K. It is a unit in $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ and is a $4N$-th root of $g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')/g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)$.

(ii) If $p > 3$, then the special value

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} \dfrac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 6/p \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \\ \dfrac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 12/p \\ 6/p+1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \end{cases}$$

generates $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ over K. Further, it is a unit in $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ and is a $12N$-th root of $g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')/g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)$.

Proof. Note that β is prime to $6N$, $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) \equiv 1 \pmod{\omega_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}}}$ and the ray class $[(\beta)] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ is of order p by Lemma 5.2. Hence if $p > 3$ or $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 3$ for every i, then we achieve by Theorem 5.4

$$K_{\mathfrak{f}} = K\left(\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}\right).$$

(i) If $p = 3$, then we can write

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2N}{3}\theta + 1 & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \\ \dfrac{4N}{3}\theta + \dfrac{2N}{3} + 1 & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

Here we observe that $g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0) = g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^{12N}$ by definition and

$$g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C') = g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)^{\sigma(C')} = \begin{cases} g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 2/3 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^{12N} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \\ g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 4/3 \\ 2/3+1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^{12N} & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod 4 \end{cases}$$

by Proposition 2.3, 3.2 and 3.3. Since $\zeta_N \in \mathcal{F}_N$, we see that the functions

$$\frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 2/3 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^3}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^3} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 4/3 \\ 2/3+1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^3}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^3}$$

belong to $\mathcal{F}_N$ by Proposition 3.1. Thus its special value at θ lies in $K_{\mathfrak{f}} = K_{(N)}$ by Proposition 2.1, and so it generates $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ over K.

(ii) If $p > 3$, then we may write

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \dfrac{6N}{p}\theta + 1 & \text{if } d_K \equiv 0 \pmod 4 \\ \dfrac{12N}{p}\theta + \dfrac{6N}{p} + 1 & \text{if } d_K \equiv 1 \pmod 4. \end{cases}$$

And, in a similar way one can readily show that the special value γ^p generates $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ over K. On the other hand, γ^{12} is an element of $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ by Theorem 5.4. Therefore, we get

$$K_{\mathfrak{f}} = K(\gamma)$$

owing to the fact $\gcd(p, 12) = 1$.

□

EXAMPLE 5.8. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ and $\theta = (-1 + \sqrt{-7})/2$. Then the class number h_K is 1.

(i) Let $\mathfrak{f} = 9\mathcal{O}_K$. Then 3 is inert in K and so $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 3$ for every i. Hence the special value

$$\gamma = \frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}4/3\\7/9\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^3}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/9\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^3}$$

generates $K_{(9)}$ over K by Corollary 5.7.

Here we note that $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{(9)}/K) \cong W_{9,\theta}/\{\pm I_2\}$ by Proposition 2.4, and hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W_{9,\theta}/\{\pm I_2\} = \Bigg\{ & \begin{bmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}2&0\\0&2\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}4&0\\0&4\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}8&7\\1&0\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}0&7\\1&1\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}1&7\\1&2\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}2&7\\1&3\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}3&7\\1&4\end{bmatrix}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix}4&7\\1&5\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}5&7\\1&6\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}6&7\\1&7\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}7&7\\1&8\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}7&5\\2&0\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}8&5\\2&1\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}0&5\\2&2\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}1&5\\2&3\end{bmatrix}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix}2&5\\2&4\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}3&5\\2&5\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}4&5\\2&6\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}5&5\\2&7\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}6&5\\2&8\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}7&3\\3&1\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}8&3\\3&2\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}1&3\\3&4\end{bmatrix}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix}2&3\\3&5\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}4&3\\3&7\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}5&3\\3&8\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}5&1\\4&0\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}6&1\\4&1\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}7&1\\4&2\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}8&1\\4&3\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}0&1\\4&4\end{bmatrix}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix}1&1\\4&5\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}2&1\\4&6\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}3&1\\4&7\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}4&1\\4&8\end{bmatrix} \Bigg\}. \end{aligned}$$

And, in general, if $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\}$ for a positive integer $N \geq 2$, then one can find $\alpha' \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfying

$$\alpha \equiv \begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & \det(\alpha)\end{bmatrix} \cdot \alpha' \pmod{N}$$

by (2.1). If a function $g_{\mathbf{r}}(\tau)^m / g_{\mathbf{s}}(\tau)^m$ lies in $\mathcal{F}_N$ for some $\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we attain

$$\left(\frac{g_{\mathbf{r}}(\tau)^m}{g_{\mathbf{s}}(\tau)^m}\right)^{\alpha} = \frac{a(\mathbf{r})}{a(\mathbf{s})} \cdot \frac{g_{t_{\alpha'}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1&0\\0&\det(\alpha)\end{smallmatrix}\right]\mathbf{r}}(\tau)^m}{g_{t_{\alpha'}\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1&0\\0&\det(\alpha)\end{smallmatrix}\right]\mathbf{s}}(\tau)^m}$$

by (2.2) and Proposition 3.2. Here

$$a(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } mNr_2(r_1-1) \text{ is odd and } \det(\alpha) \text{ is even} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $\mathbf{r} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$. In our case, the function $g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 4/3 \\ 7/9 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^3 / g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/9 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^3$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_9$ and so we can find all conjugates of γ over K by using Proposition 2.4. Therefore, we derive the minimal polynomial of γ over $\mathbb{Q}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \min(\gamma, \mathbb{Q}) &= \prod_{\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(K_{(9)}/K)} (X - \gamma^\tau)(X - \overline{\gamma^\tau}) \\ &= X^{72} + 90X^{71} + 1152X^{70} - 22371X^{69} + 458820X^{68} - 29836953X^{67} \\ &\quad + 491027613X^{66} - 1938660903X^{65} - 20725828920X^{64} + 218606201947X^{63} \\ &\quad - 87981391440X^{62} - 9726726330846X^{61} + 74685511048146X^{60} \\ &\quad - 296777453271966X^{59} + 741369035579850X^{58} - 1250575046567529X^{57} \\ &\quad + 1668303706335570X^{56} - 3404755297594260X^{55} + 12286071601634287X^{54} \\ &\quad - 32591232085278402X^{53} + 35114715622084023X^{52} + 37809379416794814X^{51} \\ &\quad - 111424993786127475X^{50} - 44163687277340892X^{49} + 282536182740148884X^{48} \\ &\quad - 43713385246904949X^{47} - 422588747471994153X^{46} + 222731731243593448X^{45} \\ &\quad + 334105708870044999X^{44} - 414268957496144781X^{43} + 13834474218095754X^{42} \\ &\quad + 634423686065669232X^{41} - 404320599974193246X^{40} - 761298152585541393X^{39} \\ &\quad + 489778367476257828X^{38} + 416185685059783914X^{37} - 442068360347754785X^{36} \\ &\quad + 416185685059783914X^{35} + 489778367476257828X^{34} - 761298152585541393X^{33} \\ &\quad - 404320599974193246X^{32} + 634423686065669232X^{31} + 13834474218095754X^{30} \\ &\quad - 414268957496144781X^{29} + 334105708870044999X^{28} + 222731731243593448X^{27} \\ &\quad - 422588747471994153X^{26} - 43713385246904949X^{25} + 282536182740148884X^{24} \\ &\quad - 44163687277340892X^{23} - 111424993786127475X^{22} + 37809379416794814X^{21} \\ &\quad + 35114715622084023X^{20} - 32591232085278402X^{19} + 12286071601634287X^{18} \\ &\quad - 3404755297594260X^{17} + 1668303706335570X^{16} - 1250575046567529X^{15} \\ &\quad + 741369035579850X^{14} - 296777453271966X^{13} + 74685511048146X^{12} \\ &\quad - 9726726330846X^{11} - 87981391440X^{10} + 218606201947X^9 - 20725828920X^8 \\ &\quad - 1938660903X^7 + 491027613X^6 - 29836953X^5 + 458820X^4 - 22371X^3 \\ &\quad + 1152X^2 + 90X + 1, \end{aligned}$$

which claims that γ is a unit as desired.

On the other hand, the Siegel-Ramachandra invariant

$$g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0) = g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/9 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^{108}$$

also generates $K_{(9)}$ over K by Theorem 4.6. Observe that it is a real algebraic integer, and so its minimal polynomial over K has integer coefficients ([5, Theorem 3.5 and Remark 3.6]). One can then compute the minimal polynomial of $g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/9\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^{108}$ over K as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\min\left(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/9\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^{108}, K\right) \approx\ & X^{36} - 5.8014\times 10^{16}X^{35} + 1.2510\times 10^{33}X^{34} - 1.2073\times 10^{49}X^{33}\\ & +5.2876\times 10^{64}X^{32} - 1.3770\times 10^{80}X^{31} + 4.5041\times 10^{95}X^{30}\\ & +7.1821\times 10^{109}X^{29} + 3.5929\times 10^{125}X^{28} + 6.0405\times 10^{140}X^{27}\\ & -2.6727\times 10^{153}X^{26} + 4.0906\times 10^{166}X^{25} + 1.5461\times 10^{178}X^{24}\\ & +2.5470\times 10^{189}X^{23} - 8.8165\times 10^{197}X^{22} + 1.2086\times 10^{206}X^{21}\\ & -6.5232\times 10^{213}X^{20} + 1.1931\times 10^{221}X^{19} + 1.1532\times 10^{226}X^{18}\\ & +1.8902\times 10^{231}X^{17} + 5.0656\times 10^{233}X^{16} + 4.0609\times 10^{234}X^{15}\\ & +1.3087\times 10^{235}X^{14} + 1.8279\times 10^{235}X^{13} + 1.0208\times 10^{235}X^{12}\\ & +1.3732\times 10^{234}X^{11} - 5.1693\times 10^{229}X^{10} + 1.5848\times 10^{225}X^{9}\\ & +1.2122\times 10^{218}X^{8} - 1.7829\times 10^{211}X^{7} + 1.2402\times 10^{204}X^{6}\\ & +5.8968\times 10^{184}X^{5} + 7.7183\times 10^{164}X^{4} + 1.3109\times 10^{144}X^{3}\\ & -1.2605\times 10^{110}X^{2} + 1.1125\times 10^{76}X + 5.8150\times 10^{25}.\end{aligned}$$

But, we notice here that the coefficients of $\min(\gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ are much smaller than those of $\min\left(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/9\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^{108}, K\right)$.

(ii) Let $\mathfrak{f} = 5\mathcal{O}_K$. Then 5 is inert in K and so $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 3$ for every i. By Lemma 5.2 we get an element $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ prime to 30 for which $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) \equiv 1 \pmod{\omega_{K_\mathfrak{f}}}$ and the ray class $[(\beta)] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ is of order 3. Indeed, $\beta = 6\sqrt{-5} + 7$ satisfies these conditions. Since $\beta = 12\theta + 13$, the special value

$$\gamma = \frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12/5\\13/5\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/5\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)}$$

generates $K_{(5)}$ over K by Theorem 5.4.

Since the function $g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}12/5\\13/5\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)/g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/5\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_{25}$ by Proposition 2.1, in order to estimate the minimal polynomial of γ over $\mathbb{Q}$ we need to describe the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{(25)}/K)$. Since

$$[K_{(25)} : K] = 300 \quad \text{and} \quad [K_{(5)} : K] = 12,$$

we have

$$\prod_{\tau\in\mathrm{Gal}(K_{(25)}/K)} (X - \gamma^\tau) = \min(\gamma, K)^{25}$$

and hence we can find all conjugates of γ over K in a similar way as in (i). And, the minimal polynomial of γ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\min(\gamma,\mathbb{Q}) &= X^{24}-3X^{23}+3X^{22}-3X^{21}+11X^{20}-3X^{19}+24X^{18}-24X^{17}+4X^{16}-18X^{15}\\ &\quad +53X^{14}-39X^{13}-11X^{12}-39X^{11}+53X^{10}-18X^{9}+4X^{8}-24X^{7}+24X^{6}\\ &\quad -3X^{5}+11X^{4}-3X^{3}+3X^{2}-3X+1.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the Siegel-Ramachandra invariant

$$g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)=g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/5\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^{60}$$

also generates $K_{(5)}$ over K by Theorem 4.6 and its minimal polynomial over K is

$$\begin{aligned}\min\left(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/5\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^{60},K\right) &= X^{12}-531770250X^{11}+5249678239769062 5X^{10}\\ &\quad +1234771241833205627890625 0X^{9}\\ &\quad +5170647157671170858700644531250 00X^{8}\\ &\quad +5105793070560695709489861859357910156250X^{7}\\ &\quad +3004300932489199047251127439707809448242187 5X^{6}\\ &\quad +3569670206738160448099432237601623535156250 00X^{5}\\ &\quad +5338772150500577473141088454029560089111328125X^{4}\\ &\quad +2634404004707788263521888284802436828613281 25X^{3}\\ &\quad -4471591562072879160572290420532226562500X^{2}\\ &\quad +6298347211215075105428695678710937 5X+93132257461547851562 5.\end{aligned}$$

Example 5.9. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$ and $\theta=\sqrt{-5}$. Then the class number h_K is 2.

(i) Let $\mathfrak{f}=4\mathcal{O}_K$. Then 2 is ramified in K and hence $|\mathbf{G}_i|>2$ for every i. Since $\mathbf{G}\cong W_{4,\theta}/\{\pm I_2\}$ and

$$W_{4,\theta}/\{\pm I_2\}=\left\{\begin{bmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}1&2\\2&1\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}0&3\\1&0\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}2&3\\1&2\end{bmatrix}\right\},$$

one can check by using Proposition 2.3, 3.2 that the ray class $C'=[(3\sqrt{-5}+2)]$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ is of order 2 and satisfies

$$\left(\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}\right)^{\sigma(C)}\neq\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}$$

for every $C\in\mathbf{G}\setminus\{1\}$. Thus we see from Remark 5.6 that

$$K_{(4)}=K\left(\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}\right).$$

Furthermore, since $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(3\sqrt{-5}+2) \equiv 1 \pmod{48}$, the special value

$$\gamma = \frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}3/4\\2/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^4}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^4}$$

also generates $K_{(4)}$ over K.

Now that the function $g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}3/4\\2/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^4/g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^4$ lies in $\mathcal{F}_8$ by Proposition 2.1, in order to estimate the minimal polynomial of γ over $\mathbb{Q}$ we should know the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{(8)}/K)$. The form class group $C(d_K)$ of discriminant $d_K = -20$ consists of two reduced quadratic forms

$$Q_1 = [1, 0, 5] \quad \text{and} \quad Q_2 = [2, 2, 3].$$

Thus we have

$$\theta_{Q_1} = \sqrt{-5},\ \beta_{Q_1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{Q_2} = \frac{-1+\sqrt{-5}}{2},\ \beta_{Q_2} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $W_{8,\theta}/\{\pm I_2\}$ and $C(d_K)$ determine the group $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{(8)}/K)$ by Proposition 2.4. And, it follows from Proposition 4.1 that

$$[K_{(8)} : K] = 32 \quad \text{and} \quad [K_{(4)} : K] = 8,$$

and so we attain

$$\prod_{\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(K_{(8)}/K)} (X - \gamma^\tau) = \min(\gamma, K)^4.$$

Therefore, the minimal polynomial of γ over $\mathbb{Q}$ is

$$\min(\gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = X^{16}+3024X^{14}+128700X^{12}+53296X^{10}-124026X^8+53296X^6+128700X^4+3024X^2+1.$$

On the other hand, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \min\left(g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/4\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^{48}, K\right) \;=\; & X^8 - 1597237832768X^7 - 15846881298723072X^6 \\ & -2699283989587210649 6X^5 + 655492492138238044037120X^4 \\ & -16981779950338305755683225 6X^3 - 20680171763956163581837312X^2 \\ & -25509749423617639270318 08X + 16777216. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $\mathfrak{f} = 5\mathcal{O}_K$. Then 5 is ramified in K and hence $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 2$ for every i. Since 5 divides $d_K = -20$, the special value

$$\gamma = \frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}6/5\\1/5\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/5\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)}$$

generates $K_{(5)}$ over K by Corollary 5.7.

It then follows from Proposition 2.1, 4.1 that $g_{\begin{bmatrix}6/5\\1/5\end{bmatrix}}(\tau)/g_{\begin{bmatrix}0\\1/5\end{bmatrix}}(\tau) \in \mathcal{F}_{25}$ and

$$[K_{(25)} : K] = 500 \quad \text{and} \quad [K_{(5)} : K] = 20.$$

And, we induce

$$\prod_{\tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(K_{(25)}/K)} (X - \gamma^{\tau}) = \min(\gamma, K)^{25}.$$

Observe that

$$\theta_{Q_1} = \sqrt{-5},\ \beta_{Q_1} = \begin{bmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{Q_2} = \frac{-1+\sqrt{-5}}{2},\ \beta_{Q_2} = \begin{bmatrix}2 & 1\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix}$$

in this case. Therefore, we obtain the minimal polynomial of γ over $\mathbb{Q}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\min(\gamma, \mathbb{Q}) \;=\; & X^{40} + 10X^{39} + 50X^{38} + 170X^{37} + 420X^{36} + 732X^{35} + 965X^{34} + 1380X^{33} \\ & +2545X^{32} + 4460X^{31} + 6798X^{30} + 7880X^{29} + 1605X^{28} - 11800X^{27} - 11035X^{26} \\ & +15554X^{25} + 31975X^{24} + 3050X^{23} - 29125X^{22} - 20050X^{21} - 2145X^{20} - 20050X^{19} \\ & -29125X^{18} + 3050X^{17} + 31975X^{16} + 15554X^{15} - 11035X^{14} - 11800X^{13} + 1605X^{12} \\ & +7880X^{11} + 6798X^{10} + 4460X^{9} + 2545X^{8} + 1380X^{7} + 965X^{6} + 732X^{5} + 420X^{4} \\ & +170X^{3} + 50X^{2}10X + 1.\end{aligned}$$

6 Application to quadratic Diophantine equations

Let n be a square-free positive integer, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-n})$ and θ be as in (2.3). We assume $-n \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 4$ so that $d_K \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-n}]$. By means of ray class invariants over K, Cho [1] provided a criterion whether a given odd prime p can be written in the form $p = x^2 + ny^2$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ with additional conditions $x \equiv 1 \pmod N$, $y \equiv 0 \pmod N$ for each positive integer N.

Proposition 6.1. *For a positive integer N, we let $f_N(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of a real algebraic integer which generates $K_{(N)}$ over K. If an odd prime p divides neither nN nor the discriminant of $f_N(X)$, then*

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} p = x^2 + ny^2 \text{ with } x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \\ x \equiv 1 \pmod N,\ y \equiv 0 \pmod N \end{array}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{c} \left(\frac{-n}{p}\right) = 1 \text{ and } f_N(X) \equiv 0 \pmod p \\ \text{has an integer solution} \end{array}\right)$$

where $(\frac{-n}{p})$ is the Kronecker symbol.

Proof. [1, Theorem 1]. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let $N \geq 2$ be an integer.*

(i) *For* $s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus N\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ s/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)}$$

is a real number.

(ii) *For* $t \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$ie^{\frac{t}{2N}\pi i}\frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ t/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)}$$

is a real number.

Proof. We obtain by definition

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\frac{t}{2N}\pi i} g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ t/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta) &= -q_\theta^{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}_2(\frac{1}{2})}(1-q_\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}\zeta_N^t)\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q_\theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\zeta_N^t)(1-q_\theta^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\zeta_N^{-t}) \\ &= -q_\theta^{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}_2(\frac{1}{2})}\prod_{n=0}^{\infty}(1-q_\theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\zeta_N^t)\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q_\theta^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\zeta_N^{-t}) \\ &= -q_\theta^{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}_2(\frac{1}{2})}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q_\theta^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\zeta_N^t)(1-q_\theta^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\zeta_N^{-t}) \\ &= -q_\theta^{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}_2(\frac{1}{2})}\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{1-q_\theta^{n-\frac{1}{2}}(\zeta_N^t+\zeta_N^{-t})+q_\theta^{2n-1}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

And, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} -ig_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ s/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta) &= iq_\theta^{\frac{1}{12}}e^{-\frac{s}{N}\pi i}(1-\zeta_N^s)\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q_\theta^n\zeta_N^s)(1-q_\theta^n\zeta_N^{-s}) \\ &= iq_\theta^{\frac{1}{12}}(\zeta_{2N}^{-s}-\zeta_{2N}^s)\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{1-q_\theta^n(\zeta_N^s+\zeta_N^{-s})+q_\theta^{2n}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since q_θ, $\zeta_N^t+\zeta_N^{-t}$ and $i(\zeta_{2N}^{-s}-\zeta_{2N}^s)$ are real numbers, we get the lemma.

□

Theorem 6.3. *Let* N *be a positive integer and* $\mathfrak{f} = N\mathcal{O}_K$ *with prime ideal factorization* $\mathfrak{f} = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{p}_i^{n_i}$. *Assume that* $K_\mathfrak{f} \neq K_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}}$ *and* $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 2$ *for every* i.

(i) *Let* s *be an integer prime to* N *such that the order of* $[s]$ *in* $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times/\{\pm 1\}$ *is an odd prime* p *(if any). If* $p > 3$ *or* $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 3$ *for every* i, *then the special value*

$$\frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ s/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^m}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/N \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^m}$$

generates $K_{(N)}$ *over* K *as a real algebraic integer, where* m *is an integer dividing* N *for which* $m(s^2-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(2,N)\cdot N}$ *and* $m \equiv N \pmod 2$.

(ii) *When N is even, we set $C' = \big[((N/2)\theta + t)\big] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ with $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $t^2 \equiv 1 \pmod N$. We further assume that*

$$\left(\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}\right)^{\sigma(C)} \neq \frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}$$

for every $C \in \mathbf{G} \setminus \{1\}$. If 4 divides Nn, then the special value

$$e^{\frac{2t}{N}\pi i}\frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/2\\ t/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^4}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ 1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^4}$$

is a real algebraic integer and generates $K_{(N)}$ over K. In particular, if 4 divides N then the special value

$$e^{\frac{t}{N}\pi i}\frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/2\\ t/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^2}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ 1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^2}$$

also generates $K_{(N)}$ over K as a real algebraic integer.

Proof. (i) Let $C' = \big[(s)\big] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$. Then the order of C' in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ is p, and so we see from Theorem 5.4 that

$$K_{(N)} = K\left(\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}\right).$$

And, the function

$$\frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ s/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^m}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ 1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^m}$$

lies in $\mathcal{F}_N$, from which we attain

$$\gamma = \frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ s/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^m}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ 1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^m} \in K_{(N)}$$

by Proposition 2.1 and 3.1. Since $\gamma^{12N/m} = g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')/g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)$, the special value γ also generates $K_{(N)}$ over K as a real algebraic integer by Lemma 6.2. Note that $m = N$ always satisfies the condition $m(s^2-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(2,N)\cdot N}$. Indeed, if N is even, then $s^2 - 1$ must be even because s is prime to N.

(ii) Now that

$$\left(\frac{N}{2}\theta + t\right)^2 \equiv -\frac{Nn}{4}N + tN\theta + t^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{N\mathcal{O}_K},$$

the ray class C' is of order 2 in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$. Then it follows from Remark 5.6 that

$$K_{(N)} = K\left(\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}\right).$$

And, the function

$$e^{\frac{2t}{N}\pi i}\frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/2\\ t/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^4}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ 1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^4}$$

lies in $\mathcal{F}_N$, which yields

$$\gamma = e^{\frac{2t}{N}\pi i}\frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/2\\ t/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^4}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ 1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^4} \in K_{(N)}$$

by Proposition 2.1 and 3.1. Since $\gamma^{3N} = g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')/g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)$, the special value γ also generates $K_{(N)}$ over K and is a real algebraic integer by Lemma 6.2.

In particular, if 4 divides N then we have

$$e^{\frac{t}{N}\pi i}\frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/2\\ t/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^2}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ 1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\tau)^2} \in \mathcal{F}_N$$

by Proposition 3.1. And, in like manner, we get the conclusion.

□

REMARK 6.4. Recently, Jung et al. [6] proved that the if $N \equiv d_K \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ and $|d_K| \geq 4N^{\frac{4}{3}}$, then the ray class $C' = [((N/2)\theta + (N/2) + 1)] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ satisfies

$$\left(\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}\right)^{\sigma(C)} \neq \frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}$$

for every $C \in \mathbf{G} \setminus \{1\}$. Thus if $K_{\mathfrak{f}} \neq K_{\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{p}_i^{-n_i}}$ and $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 2$ for every i, the special value

$$e^{(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{N})\pi i}\frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}1/2\\ 1/2+1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^2}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ 1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^2}$$

generates $K_{(N)}$ over K as a real algebraic integer by Theorem 6.3.

COROLLARY 6.5. *With the notations and assumptions as in Theorem 6.3, let p be an odd prime satisfying $p^2 \mid N$ (if any). If $p > 3$ or $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 3$ for every i, then the special value*

$$\frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ 1/p+1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^m}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ 1/N\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^m}$$

generates $K_{(N)}$ over K as a real algebraic integer, where

$$m = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } N \text{ is odd} \\ 2p & \text{if } N \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Let $s = 1 + N/p$. For a positive integer i, we have

$$s^i \equiv 1 + \frac{N}{p} i \pmod{N}$$

and hence the ray class $\big[(s)\big] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ is of order p and $m(s^2-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{\gcd(2,N)\cdot N}$. Therefore, the corollary follows from Theorem 6.3 (i). □

Now, we are ready to apply the ray class invariants in Theorem 6.3 to the quadratic Diophantine equations described in Proposition 6.1.

EXAMPLE 6.6. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, $\theta = \sqrt{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{f} = 9\mathcal{O}_K$. Then 3 is inert in K and hence $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 3$ for every i. Since the ray class $C' = \big[(4)\big]$ in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ is of order 3, the special value

$$\gamma = \frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\4/9\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^3}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\1/9\end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^3}$$

generates $K_{(9)}$ over K as a real algebraic integer by Corollary 6.5. Here we observe that $\mathrm{Gal}(K_{(9)}/K) \cong W_{9,\theta}/\ker(\varphi_{9,\theta})$ by Proposition 2.4, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{9,\theta}/\ker(\varphi_{9,\theta}) = \Bigg\{ & \begin{bmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}0&7\\2&0\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}0&5\\4&0\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}1&8\\1&1\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}1&7\\2&1\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}1&6\\3&1\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}1&5\\4&1\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}1&4\\5&1\end{bmatrix}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix}1&3\\6&1\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}1&2\\7&1\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}2&7\\2&2\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}2&6\\3&2\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}2&5\\4&2\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}2&4\\5&2\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}2&3\\6&2\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}3&5\\4&3\end{bmatrix}, \\ & \begin{bmatrix}3&4\\5&3\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix}4&5\\4&4\end{bmatrix} \Bigg\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_{9,\theta}$ is the homomorphism stated in Proposition 2.2 . Hence we obtain the minimal polynomial $f_9(X)$ of γ over K as

$$\begin{aligned} f_9(X) \;=\; & X^{18} - 36X^{17} + 234X^{16} + 1086X^{15} + 2547X^{14} + 12294X^{13} + 32415X^{12} + 41976X^{11} \\ & + 45459X^{10} + 55748X^9 + 51480X^8 + 22914X^7 - 1092X^6 - 5310X^5 - 1719X^4 + 6X^3 \\ & + 99X^2 + 18X + 1 \end{aligned}$$

and so we achieve $\mathrm{disc}\big(f_9(X)\big) = 2^{54} \cdot 3^{135} \cdot 127^6 \cdot 827^2$. On the other hand, an odd prime p satisfies $(\frac{-1}{p}) = 1$ if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$. Therefore, if $p \neq 2, 3, 127, 827$, we see by Proposition 6.1 that a prime p can be expressed as $p = x^2 + y^2$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ with conditions $x \equiv 1 \pmod 9$, $y \equiv 0 \pmod 9$ if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ and $f_9(X) \equiv 0 \pmod p$ has an integer solution.

EXAMPLE 6.7. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-5})$, $\theta = \sqrt{-5}$ and $\mathfrak{f} = 4\mathcal{O}_K$. Then 2 is ramified in K and so $|\mathbf{G}_i| > 2$ for every i. In a similar way as in Example 5.9 one can show that the ray class $C' = \big[(2\sqrt{-5}+1)\big] \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ satisfies

$$\left(\frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}\right)^{\sigma(C)} \neq \frac{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')}{g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)}$$

for every $C \in \mathbf{G} \setminus \{1\}$. Thus the special value

$$\gamma = e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}} \frac{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/4 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^2}{g_{\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1/4 \end{smallmatrix}\right]}(\theta)^2}$$

generates $K_{(4)}$ over K as a real algebraic integer by Theorem 6.3. And, we get the minimal polynomial $f_4(X)$ of γ over K as follows:

$$f_4(X) = X^8 + 16X^7 - 12X^6 + 16X^5 + 38X^4 - 16X^3 - 12X^2 - 16X + 1.$$

On the other hand, the discriminant of $f_4(X)$ is $2^{68} \cdot 5^4$ and we derive that an odd prime p satisfies $(\frac{-5}{p}) = 1$ if and only if $p \equiv 1, 3, 7, 9 \pmod{20}$. Therefore, if $p \neq 2, 5$ we conclude that a prime p can be written in the form $p = x^2 + 5y^2$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ with conditions $x \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, $y \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ if and only if $p \equiv 1, 3, 7, 9 \pmod{20}$ and $X^8 + 16X^7 - 12X^6 + 16X^5 + 38X^4 - 16X^3 - 12X^2 - 16X + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod p$ has an integer solution.

References

[1] B. Cho, *Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$ with conditions $x \equiv 1 \bmod N, y \equiv 0 \bmod N$*, J. Number Theory 130 (2010), 852–861.

[2] D. A. Cox, *Primes of the Form $x^2 + ny^2$: Fermat, Class Field, and Complex Multiplication*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989.

[3] A. Gee and P. Stevenhagen, *Generating class fields using Shimura reciprocity*, Algorithmic Number Theory, 441–453, Lecture Notes in Computer Sciences 1423, Springer, Berlin, 1998.

[4] G. J. Janusz, *Algebraic Number Fields*, 2nd ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 7. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1996.

[5] H. Y. Jung, J. K. Koo and D. H. Shin, *Ray class invariants over imaginary quadratic fields*, Tohoku Math. J., 63 (2011), 413–426.

[6] H. Y. Jung, J. K. Koo and D. H. Shin, *On some Fricke families and application to the Lang-Schertz conjecture*, arXiv:1405.5423, 2014.

[7] J. K. Koo and D. S. Yoon, *Construction of class fields over imaginary biquadratic fields*, arXiv:1306.6390, 2013.

[8] D. Kubert and S. Lang, *Modular Units*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 244, Spinger-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1981.

[9] S. Lang, *Elliptic Functions*, 2nd ed., Spinger-Verlag, New York, 1987.

[10] S. Lang, *Algebraic Number Theory*, 2nd ed., Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 110. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.

[11] K. Ramachandra, *Some applications of Kronecker's limit formulas*, Ann. Math. (2) 80 (1964), 104–148.

[12] R. Schertz, *Construction of ray class fields by elliptic units*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 9 (1997), no. 2, 383–394.

[13] J. -P. Serre, *A Course in Arithmetic*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 7. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.

[14] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994.

[15] C. L. Siegel, *Lectures on advanced analytic number theory*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics, 23, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1965.

[16] P. Stevenhagen, *Hilbert's 12th problem, complex multiplication and Shimura reciprocity*, Class field theory-its centenary and prospect (Tokyo, 1998), 161–176, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. 30, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2001.

Ja Kyung Koo
Department of Mathematical Sciences
KAIST
Daejeon 305-701
Republic of Korea

E-mail address: jkkoo@math.kaist.ac.kr

Dong Sung Yoon
National Institute for Mathematical Sciences
Daejeon 305-811
Republic of Korea

E-mail address: dsyoon@nims.re.kr